APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,539	02/17/2004	Rajiv Laroia	Flarion-71APP (96)	7486

26479   7590   03/25/2008
STRAUB & POKOTYLO
620 TINTON AVENUE
BLDG. B, 2ND FLOOR
TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724

EXAMINER
SHAND, ROBERTA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2616	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/780,539	LAROIA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERTA A. SHAND	2616

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *17 February 2004*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-38* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-11,13,14 and 16-38* is/are rejected.

7)☒ Claim(s) *12 and 15* is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

        1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

        2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

        3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date *8/7/06, 12/27/07*.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in
> section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are
> such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person
> having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the
> manner in which the invention was made.

2.   Claims 1-11, 13, 14 and 16-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Hwang (U.S. 2002/0060997 A1) in view of Nagase (U.S. 6904555 B2)

3.   Regarding claims 1 and 26, Hwang teaches a communications method and device, the

method and device comprising: operating a first communications device to: perform a decoding

operation on a first signal including encoded signal information (paragraph 71); determine if the

encoded signal information included in the first signal was successfully decoded (paragraph 71).

4.   While Hwang does teach using NAK signals, Hwang does not explicitly teach when it is

determined that said encoded information was not successfully decoded, generating a first NAK

signal having one of a plurality of possible NAK signal values, each of said plurality of possible

NAK signal values corresponding to a different level of decoding success.

5.   Nagase teaches (col. 4, lines 10-20) a decoding method with retransmission according to

the degree of error. This reads on applicant's NAK values. It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art to adapt to Hwang's method Nagase's retransmission method to

remove the adverse effects of error correction codes.

6.   Regarding claims 2 and 27, Hwang teaches (paragraph 71) decoding operation produces
decoded information, the step of generating a first NAK signal including: selecting the first NAK
signal value as a function of the quality of the decoded information.

7.   Regarding claim 3. Hwang teaches when it is determined that said encoded information
was successfully decoded, generating an ACK signal having an ACK signal value (fig. 6); and
wherein each NAK signal value, in the plurality of NAK signal values, differs from any other
one of the NAK signal values in said plurality by an amount which is less than the smallest
amount any one of said NAK signal values differs from said ACK signal value (It is inherent in
Hwang's system that the ACK and NAK signals will be different to differentiate between a
successful or failed transmission. In paragraph 52 Hwang describes performing retransmission
according to the retransmission request).

8.   Regarding claim 4. Hwang teaches (paragraph 80) NAK and ACK signals are complex
signals and wherein said NAK signal values and said ACK signal values are phase values.

9.   Regarding claim 5. Hwang teaches (paragraph 80) operating the first device to perform a
decoding operation includes: determining the quality of decoded information generated by
decoding said encoded information; wherein operating the first device to generate a first NAK
signal includes operating the first device to select the first NAK signal value as a function of the
determined quality of the decoded information; and wherein operating the first device further
includes operating the first device to transmit the generated first NAK signal.

10.   Regarding claim 6. Hwang teaches (paragraphs 53 and 80) determining the quality of the decoded information includes: maintaining decoding statistics indicating the reliability of the decoded information, said decoding statistics indicating the quality of the decoded information.

11.   Regarding claim 7. as for the maintained decoding statistics include a count of the number of detected errors in the decoded information, it is inherent in Hwang's system the number of errors is counted in order to determine retransmission.

12.   Regarding claim 8. Hwang teaches operating the first device to transmit said first NAK signal; and operating a second device to: receive said first NAK signal. While Hwang does teach using NAK signals, Hwang does not explicitly determine, from said first NAK signal value, an amount of redundant information to transmit to said first device from, different amounts of redundant information being determined for at least two different NAK signal values. Nagase teaches (col. 4, lines 10-20) a decoding method with retransmission according to the degree of error. This reads on applicant's NAK values. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt to Hwang's method Nagase's retransmission method to remove the adverse effects of error correction codes.

13.   Regarding claims 9, 28 and 29, Hwang teaches (fig. 6)operating the first device to: transmit the generated first NAK signal; receive in a second signal including redundant information corresponding to said first received encoded signal; perform an additional decoding operation using said redundant information and information obtained from said first received

signal; and determine if the additional decoding operation successfully decoded the encoded signal information included in the first signal (Hwang teaches after determining in there is an error retransmission is performed (redundant information) and the cycle continues for successful transmission occurs (ACK signal received)).

14.   Regarding claim 10, Hwang teaches (paragraph 80) receiving a traffic channel assignment message from a second device; and identifying from information included in said traffic channel assignment message, the first signal to which said second signal corresponds.

15.   Regarding claim 11. Hwang teaches (fig. 2) the first device is a mobile node and said second device is a base station; and wherein the information included in said traffic channel assignment message used to identify the first signal is an index of a traffic segment used to transmit the first signal.

16.   Regarding claim 13. Hwang teaches (fig. 6) first device is a base station and said second device is a mobile node (fig. 2), the method further comprising: operating the second device to identify from information included in the uplink channel assignment message the first signal for which redundant information is to be transmitted in an uplink channel segment assigned by said channel assignment message Hwang teaches transmitting a NAK signal); and operating the second device to transmit said second signal including redundant information (Hwang teaches performing retransmission based on the NAK signal, paragraphs 52 and 80).

17.   Regarding claim 14. Hwang teaches (paragraph 88) the information included in said
uplink channel assignment message used to identify the first signal is an index of an uplink
traffic segment used to transmit the first signal.

18.   Regarding claim 16. Hwang teaches (fig. 6) in addition to said redundant information,
new encoded information, the method further comprising: operating said first device to decode
said new encoded information (Hwang teaches after determining if there is an error
retransmission is performed (redundant information) and the cycle continues for successful
transmission occurs (ACK signal received)).

19.   Regarding claim 17. Hwang teaches (fig. 6) operating the first device to determine if the
encoded signal information included in the first signal was successfully decoded by said
additional decoding operation; and when it is determined that said encoded information was not
properly decoded by said additional decoding operation, operating the first device to generate a
second NAK (paragraph 71)..

20.   While Hwang does teach using NAK signals, Hwang does not explicitly teach NAK
signal having one of said plurality of possible NAK signal values, each of said plurality of
possible NAK signal values corresponding to a different level of decoding success, operating the
first device to generate a second NAK signal including selecting a second NAK signal value as a
function of the quality of decoded information generated by said additional decoding operation.

21.   Nagase teaches (col. 4, lines 10-20) a decoding method with retransmission according to
the degree of error. This reads on applicant's NAK values. It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art to adapt to Hwang's method Nagase's retransmission method to
remove the adverse effects of error correction codes.

22.   Regarding claim 18, Hwang teaches (paragraph 67) operating a second communications
device to: perform an encoding operation on information to be transmitted to produce a first set
of encoded information and a set of redundant information; and transmit said first set of encoded
information in said first signal.

23.   Regarding claims 19, 31 and 37, Hwang teaches (paragraph 80) operating the second
communications device further includes operating said second communications device to:
transmit in a traffic channel assignment message used to assign a traffic channel segment used to
transmit said first signal, an indicator indicating that the first signal does not correspond to a
previously transmitted signal.

24.   Regarding claim 20, Hwang teaches (fig. 6) operating said second communications
device further includes: operating said second communications device to: receive a NAK signal
from said first device, said NAK signal corresponding to said first signal; and determine from the
value of the received NAK signal what portion of the set of redundant information to transmit to
said first device (paragraph 80).

25.   Regarding claims 21, 32 and 38, while Hwang does teach using NAK signals, Hwang
does not explicitly teach operating said second communication device to determine what portion

of the set of redundant information to transmit to said first device includes: selecting the size of the portion of the set of redundant information as a function of the value of the received NAK signal, a larger size portion being selected when the value of the NAK signal indicates a first level of decoding success than when the value of the NAK signal indicates a second level of decoding success that indicates more decoding success than said first level, Nagase teaches (col. 4, lines 10-20) a decoding method with retransmission according to the degree of error. This reads on applicant's NAK values. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt to Hwang's method Nagase's retransmission method to remove the adverse effects of error correction codes.

26.   Regarding claim 22. Hwang teaches (paragraph 80) operating the second communications device to transmit the determined portion of the set of redundant information to said first device in a second information signal.

27.   Regarding claims 23 and 33, Hwang teaches (paragraph 80) operating said second communications device to transmit an assignment message used to assign a channel segment used to transmit said second information signal, said assignment message including information indicating the previously transmitted first signal to which the redundant information included in the second information signal corresponds, said assignment message being transmitted prior to said second information signal.

28.   Regarding claims 24 and 34, Hwang teaches (paragraph 67 and fig. 6) operating the

second communications device to: perform a second encoding operation on additional

information to be transmitted to produce a second set of encoded information and a second set of

redundant information; and wherein operating said second communications device to transmit a

second information signal includes operating the second communications device to include in

said second information signal a portion of said second set of encoded information.

29.   Regarding claims 25 and 35, Hwang teaches (paragraphs 67-69) the encoding operation

is a low density parity check coding operation. 3

30.   Regarding claim 30, Hwang teaches (fig. 6) a method of operating a communications

device comprising: encoding, using an encoder (paragraph 67), information to be transmitted to

produce a first set of encoded information and a set of redundant information (paragraph 71);

transmitting said first set of encoded information in a first signal (paragraph 71); receiving a

NAK signal from a device to which said first signal was transmitted (paragraph 80);

31.   While Hwang does teach using NAK signals, Hwang does not explicitly teach selecting a

portion of the set of redundant information to transmit to said first device as a function of the

value of the received NAK signal, said function causing different amounts of redundant

information to be selected for at least two different possible NAK signal values.

32.   Nagase teaches (col. 4, lines 10-20) a decoding method with retransmission according to

the degree of error. This reads on applicant's NAK values. It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art to adapt to Hwang's method Nagase's retransmission method to
remove the adverse effects of error correction codes.

33.   Regarding claim 36, Hwang teaches a communications device comprising: an encoder for
encoding information to be transmitted to produce a first set of encoded information and a set of
redundant information (paragraph 71); a transmitter for transmitting said first set of encoded
information in a first signal (paragraph 71); a receiver for receiving a NAK signal from a device
to which said first signal was transmitted (fig. 6);

34.   While Hwang does teach using NAK signals, Hwang does not explicitly teach means for
selecting a portion of the set of redundant information to transmit to said first device as a
function of the value of the received NAK signal, said function causing different amounts of
redundant information to be selected for at least two different possible NAK signal values.

35.   Nagase teaches (col. 4, lines 10-20) a decoding method with retransmission according to
the degree of error. This reads on applicant's NAK values. It would have been obvious to one
of ordinary skill in the art to adapt to Hwang's method Nagase's retransmission method to
remove the adverse effects of error correction codes.

36.   Claims 12 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but
would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base
claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

37.   Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to ROBERTA A. SHAND whose telephone number is (571)272-
3161. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-5:30pm.

38.   If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's
supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the
organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

39.   Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR
system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR
system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would
like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated
information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frank Duong/   Roberta A Shand
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2616   Examiner
                                                        Art Unit 2616